

VCE Extended Investigation: Externally-assessed Task 2019

Unit 4 Outcome 2: Oral Presentation

Assessment criteria	Levels of Performance					
	Not shown	1–2 (very low)	3–4 (low)	5–6 (medium)	7–8 (high)	9–10 (very high)
1. Knowledge and understanding of the research area		General summary of the investigation, the selected methodology and processes. Some reference to key issues identified during the investigation.	General explanation of the investigation, the selected methodology and processes, including discussion of key issues identified during the investigation.	Developed explanation and justification of the investigation, the selected methodology and processes, including discussion of key issues identified during the investigation.	Well-developed explanation and justification of the investigation, the selected methodology and processes, including discussion of key issues identified during the investigation.	Critical and insightful explanation and justification of the investigation, the selected methodology and processes, including discussion of key issues identified during the investigation.
2. Defence of research findings and understanding of audience		Limited attempt to accommodate an educated non-specialist audience in the delivery and defence of information, ideas and research findings.	Some effective accommodation of an educated non-specialist audience in the delivery and defence of information, ideas and research findings.	Generally effective accommodation of an educated non-specialist audience in the delivery and sound defence of information, ideas and research findings.	Considered and effective accommodation of an educated non-specialist audience in the delivery and well-developed defence of information, ideas and research findings.	Insightful and effective accommodation of an educated non-specialist audience in the delivery and defence of information, insightful ideas and research findings.
3. Responses to questions and challenges		Some relevant responses to the posed questions and challenges. Responses attempt to clarify and elaborate on own ideas and thinking, drawing on limited evidence.	Responses are mostly relevant to the posed questions and challenges. Responses generally clarify and elaborate on own ideas and thinking, drawing on relevant evidence.	Responses are relevant to the posed questions and challenges. Responses clarify and elaborate on own ideas and thinking, drawing on a range of relevant evidence.	Responses are specific to the posed questions and challenges. Well-developed responses clarify and elaborate on own ideas and thinking, drawing on a range of relevant and effective evidence.	Responses are cogent and specific to the posed questions and challenges. Insightful responses clarify and elaborate on own ideas and thinking, drawing on a range of relevant and highly-effective evidence.
4. Reflection and evaluation		Some attempts at reflective commentary on research methods and findings. Limited reflection on the outcomes of the overall investigation.	Mostly relevant evaluative commentary on research methods and findings. Some reflection on the outcomes of the overall investigation with some conclusions drawn.	General and relevant evaluative commentary on research methods and findings. General reflection on the outcomes of the overall investigation with sound conclusions drawn.	Well-developed evaluative commentary on research methods and findings. Thoughtful reflection on the outcomes of the overall investigation with logical and relevant conclusions drawn.	Insightful and synthesised evaluative commentary on research methods and findings. Insightful reflection on the outcomes of the overall investigation with sophisticated conclusions drawn.