

Product Design and Technology

Graded Assessment 1 COURSEWORK UNIT 3/4 2018

Table of Grade Distribution by Gender


	able of didde Pistribotion by defider													
Grade		UG	E	E+	D	D+	c	C+	В	B+	Α	A+	NR	Total
Male	n	24	23	30	60	117	181	202	218	221	154	108	0	1,338
	%	1.8	1.7	2.2	4.5	8.7	13.5	15.1	16.3	16.5	11.5	8.1	0.0	100.0
Female	n	5	5	7	9	36	62	77	124	153	172	143	0	793
	%	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.1	4.5	7.8	9.7	15.6	19.3	21.7	18.0	0.0	100.0
Gender X	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	n	29	28	37	69	153	243	279	342	374	326	251	0	2,131
	%	1.4	1.3	1.7	3.2	7.2	11.4	13.1	16.0	17.6	15.3	11.8	0.0	100.0
Score Ran	ges	0-9	10-18	19-25	26-36	37-50	51-61	62-69	70-77	78-85	86-92	93-100	N/A	Max 100

For privacy reasons, a gender with less than 5 students assessed has been assigned to the category of NR (Not Reported).

Summary Statistics:					
Mean	71				
Std Dev	20.6				
Median	В				

Not Assessed:					
Male	373				
Female	109				
Gender X	0				
Total	482				

Total Enrolments incl.					
Not Assessed:					
Male	1,711				
Female	902				
Gender X	0				
Total	2,613				



Gender X numbers are too low for a graph to be meaningful





Product Design and Technology

Graded Assessment 2 SCHOOL-ASSESSED TASK 2018

Table of Grade Distribution by Gender


Table of G		5 (0 (· .										
Grade		UG	E	E+	D	D+	c	C+	В	B+	Α	A+	NR	Total
Male	n	29	58	70	108	112	155	164	181	170	162	200	0	1,409
	%	2.1	4.1	5.0	7.7	7.9	11.0	11.6	12.8	12.1	11.5	14.2	0.0	100.0
Female	n	4	5	20	34	40	51	51	102	122	140	256	0	825
	%	0.5	0.6	2.4	4.1	4.8	6.2	6.2	12.4	14.8	17.0	31.0	0.0	100.0
Gender X	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	n	33	63	90	142	152	206	215	283	292	302	456	0	2,234
	%	1.5	2.8	4.0	6.4	6.8	9.2	9.6	12.7	13.1	13.5	20.4	0.0	100.0
Score Ran	ges	0-2	3-6	7-13	14-24	25-34	35-44	45-52	53-61	62-69	70-78	79-90	N/A	Max 90

For privacy reasons, a gender with less than 5 students assessed has been assigned to the category of NR (Not Reported).

Summary Statistics:						
Mean	55.2					
Std Dev	24.6					
Median	В					

Not Assessed:					
Male	302				
Female	77				
Gender X	0				
Total	379				

Total Enrolments incl.					
Not Assessed:					
Male	1,711				
Female	902				
Gender X	0				
Total	2,613				



Gender X numbers are too low for a graph to be meaningful





Product Design and Technology

Graded Assessment 3 WRITTEN EXAMINATION 2018

Table of Grade Distribution by Gender


	able of Grade Distribution by Gender													
Grade		UG	E	E+	D	D+	С	C+	В	B+	Α	A+	NR	Total
Male	n	12	34	57	81	157	168	177	157	108	81	55	0	1,087
	%	1.1	3.1	5.2	7.5	14.4	15.5	16.3	14.4	9.9	7.5	5.1	0.0	100.0
Female	n	3	11	21	32	60	78	134	101	102	92	97	0	731
	%	0.4	1.5	2.9	4.4	8.2	10.7	18.3	13.8	14.0	12.6	13.3	0.0	100.0
Gender X	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	n	15	45	78	113	217	246	311	258	210	173	152	0	1,818
	%	0.8	2.5	4.3	6.2	11.9	13.5	17.1	14.2	11.6	9.5	8.4	0.0	100.0
Score Ran	ges	0-17	18-36	37-53	54-68	69-84	85-99	100-115	116-128	129-139	140-151	152-180	N/A	Max 180

For privacy reasons, a gender with less than 5 students assessed has been assigned to the category of NR (Not Reported).

Summary Statistics:					
Mean	106.8				
Std Dev	34.1				
Median	C+				

Not Assessed:					
Male	624				
Female	171				
Gender X	0				
Total	795				

Total Enrolments incl.					
Not Assessed:					
Male	1,711				
Female	902				
Gender X	0				
Total	2,613				



Gender X numbers are too low for a graph to be meaningful

